

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION NUMBER 79021  
FILED BY Ruby Pipeline LLC.  
ON November 10 2009, TO APPROPRIATE THE  
WATERS OF State of Nevada

FILED  
NOV 25 2009  
STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE  
RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 25 AM 10:48  
STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

Comes now Bill and Linda Kennedy

Printed or typed name of protestant

whose post office address is 235 Redstone Drive, Reno, Nevada 89512

Street No. or PO Box, City, State and ZIP Code

whose occupation is Building Inspector

and protests the granting

of Application Number 79021, filed on November 10, 2009

by Ruby Pipeline LLC. to appropriate the

waters of State of Nevada situated in Washoe County

Underground or name of stream, lake, spring or other source

County, State of Nevada, for the following reasons and on the following grounds, to wit:

We, Bill and Linda Kennedy, feel if this request is granted it will impair our ability to prove up our water rights, 40 ac ft., under Permit No. 78177 and Well Permit No. WL090111 that has been drilled to a depth of 556 ft.

We also have surface water rights, Permit No. 62538, 20.6 ac. ft., under Certificate No. 16592 and a domestic well under Permit No. 006197 that is in the same water basin as the proposed well under Permit No. 79021. (see attached copies of former protest Ruling No. 5862 Conclusion II, B & C

THEREFORE the Protestant requests that the application be denied

Denied, issued subject to prior rights, etc., as the case may be

and that an order be entered for such relief as the State Engineer deems just and proper.

Signed

*Bill Kennedy  
Linda Kennedy*

Agent or protestant

Bill and Linda Kennedy

Printed or typed name, if agent

Address

235 Redstone Drive

Street No. or PO Box


Reno, Nevada 89512

City, State and ZIP Code

775-323-1914

Phone Number

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23rd day of November, 2009



*Sharon Fallis*

Notary Public

State of Nevada

County of WASHOE

+ \$25 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROTEST. PROTEST MUST BE FILED IN DUPLICATE.

ALL COPIES MUST CONTAIN ORIGINAL SIGNATURE.

*dx*

IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION 68886 )  
FILED TO APPROPRIATE THE PUBLIC )  
WATERS OF A SURFACE SOURCE IN )  
THE SWAN LAKE VALLEY )  
HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN (007), WASHOE )  
COUNTY, NEVADA. )

RULING

**#5862**

STATE ENGINEERS OFFICE

2009 NOV 25 AM 10:48

RECORDED

GENERAL

I.

Application 68886 was filed on June 10, 2002, by Tim Lawson to appropriate 0.17 cubic feet per second (cfs), not to exceed 120 acre-feet annually of the water from an unnamed spring to irrigate 30 acres of land within the NE $\frac{1}{4}$  NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$  NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$  NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SW $\frac{1}{4}$  NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 14, T.44N., R.22E., M.D.B.&M. The proposed point of diversion is a spring described as being located within SW $\frac{1}{4}$  NE $\frac{1}{4}$  of said Section 14.<sup>1</sup>

II.

Application 68886 was timely protested by Bill and Linda Kennedy, owners of Permit 62538, on the following grounds:<sup>1</sup>

Our water right application 62538 filed October 25, 1996, comes from the same channel as Mr. Lawson requested water right. Mr. Lawson has already impaired our ability to withdraw our allotment with the installment of two solar water pumps. We request that your office have these pumps removed.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Nevada Revised Statute § 533.365(3) provides that it is within the State Engineer's discretion to determine whether a public administrative hearing is necessary to address the merits of a protest to an application to appropriate the public waters of the State of Nevada. The State Engineer finds that sufficient evidence is available in the Office of the State Engineer to evaluate the merits of Application 68886 and a hearing is not necessary.

---

<sup>1</sup> File No. 68886, official records in the Office of the State Engineer.

II.

A field investigation was conducted on October 28, 2003, by staff of the Division of Water Resource. The field investigation made six stops. The stops were along Badger Creek, an intermittent stream that flows generally northward. It is noted in the field investigation report that the Applicant does not intend to increase the natural demand on the water from the spring or subsurface flow along Badger Creek. The Applicant intends to utilize the water to maintain the meadow in its current condition and wants to insure that no other party files to remove this source of water for the meadow. Report of Field Investigation No. 1062 states the following:<sup>2</sup>

1. A flow of 0.08 cfs was observed at the proposed point of diversion of protested Application 68886. This flow originates from a spring near the proposed POD [point of diversion] and possibly from subsurface flow along the Badger Creek stream channel.
2. Two (2) solar pumps were observed. They are designed to pump a small amount of water to troughs located away from the stream channel. No permits are in place for either solar pump.
3. It cannot be (was not) determined if any of the flows at the proposed point of diversion of Application 68886 reaches the storage pond of Permit 62538.
4. Use of application 68886 to maintain meadow areas along Badger Creek in their current condition should have no impact on water consumption by the meadows.
5. The letter by the agent for Permit 62538 indicates that the flow entering the storage pond is 0.5-1.0 cfs, which exceeds the total amount of water under Permit 62538 and Application 68886.

The field investigation evidence was inconclusive regarding whether Application 68886 would conflict with the Protestant's existing water right, Permit 62538. However, anytime an Applicant requests an appropriation of water upstream of an existing water right on the same stream system, the potential for conflict exists. Although the Applicant has indicated that there is no intent to change the natural system of the spring and meadow area, once a permit for irrigation is issued,

---

<sup>2</sup> See, Report of Field Investigation No. 1062, January 27, 2004, File No. 68886, official records in the Office of the State Engineer.

STATE ENGINEER  
OFFICE OF FIELD INVESTIGATION  
2009 NOV 25 AM 10:48

II.

The State Engineer is prohibited by law from granting a permit under an application to appropriate the public waters where:<sup>5</sup>

- A. there is no unappropriated water at the proposed source;
- B. the proposed use or change conflicts with existing rights;
- C. the proposed use or change conflicts with protectible interests in existing domestic wells as set forth in NRS § 533.024; or
- D. the proposed use or change threatens to prove detrimental to the public interest.

III.

The State Engineer concludes the Applicant does not own or control the proposed point of diversion and place of use and to issue a permit under these circumstances would threaten to prove detrimental to the public interest.

IV.

The State Engineer concludes the proposed use would conflict with the Protestant's existing water rights.

RULING

The protest is upheld and Application 68886 is hereby denied on the grounds that its approval would threaten to prove detrimental to the public interest and conflict with existing rights.

Respectfully submitted,

  
TRACY TAYLOR, P.E.  
State Engineer

TT/TW/jm

Dated this 26th day of  
June, 2008.

<sup>5</sup> NRS 533.370(5).

STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE  
2008 JUN 25 AM 10:38