

STATE OF NEVADA

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION

Source Squaw Valley Creek
Name of natural water source (use separate proofs for each major source)

The water is diverted from its source Dean Ditch
Name of ditch, flume or pipe line

at the following point(s) SE 1/4 SE 1/4 Section 19, T.34N., R.22E., M.D.B. & M. or at a
List all points of diversion from this source, appending a sheet if necessary

point from which the N 1/4 corner of section 18, said township and range, bears
Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey, and by course and distance to a section corner. If on unsurveyed land, it should be stated
N. 9° 36' 39" W., a distance of 10,521.55 t.

(1) Name of claimant Bright-Holland Corporation, A Nevada Corporation
Address 2500 Spinnaker Dr., Reno, County of Washoe
State of Nevada 89509 Telephone No. (775) 825-1888

(2) The means of diversion employed Diversion Dam
Dam and ditch, pipe line, flume, etc.

(3) The date of the survey of ditch, canal, or pipe line was 1999

(4) The construction of the ditch or other works was begun 1887
and completed 1904

(5) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as originally constructed were: Width on bottom 1.5 feet, width on top 2.5 feet, depth 1 feet, on a grade of 4 feet per thousand feet.

(6) The conduit has (has not) been enlarged.
NOTE-If enlargement or extension of ditch was made, supply information under (7) and (8)

(7) The work of enlargement of the ditch or canal was begun and completed

(8) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as enlarged are: Width on bottom feet, width on top feet, depth feet, on a grade of feet per thousand feet.

(9) The claimant is (is not) an owner in the above-described conduit.

Dean Ditch originally owned by Gerlach Livestock Company. Now owned by Bright-Holland Corporation as sucessor in interest.
If claimant is an owner in the conduit, state interest held on this line

(16) The years during which no water was used for irrigation or during which the full water right was not used were unknown - water still continues to flow and irrigate portions of the place.
If water was not used, or used in reduced quantity at any time, full information as to causes and duration of non-use should be given, appending a sheet if necessary
of use.

(17) The claimant's water right was (~~was not~~) recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Washoe County, said record being at page 13 of Book 377 of Surveys, and being a claim for all the waters of Squaw Creek & Tributaries of water for the irrigation of _____ acres of land in the following legal subdivisions:
Sections 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 16, T.33N., R. 22E., M.D.B. & M. Section 32, T.33N., Section 32, T.33N., 12, 22E., M.D.B. & M. Claim filed by Louis Dean predecessor in interest. Subsequent court decision decreed all land and water rights to be owned by Gerlach Livestock Company. Said case entitled Gerlach Livestock Company, a Corporation, Plaintiff VS. Louis Gerlach, et al, defendants.
Handed down on September 4, 1918.

NOTE—Failure to record in the county in no way invalidates a water right, but if ditch or right was so recorded, supply full information under (17)

(18) Water from the source given and through the works described is also used for the following purposes other than irrigation:

Stockwatering

(19) The character of the soil is Sandy A continuous flow of 1.5 cubic feet of water per second has been used to irrigate 57.15 acres of land and 4.0 acre-feet per acre per annum have been used to irrigate the crops.
(Sandy, gravelly, loam)

(20) Remarks This proof is being filed on the waters of Squaw Valley Creek for the irrigation of 57.15 acres on the Clear Creek Ranch. A total of 67.50 acres was irrigated on the Clear Creek Ranch from both Clear Creek (under proof 01281) and Squaw Valley creek. This proof is being filed to account for those portions of the clear creek ranch irrigated by Squaw Valley Creek and not previously filed on. Reference is made to the map prepared by F.B. Stewart of the State Engineer's office illustrating the lands irrigated on the Squaw Creek Ranch. This map should be utilized to illustrate the place of use. Reference is also made to the findings of fact, conclusions of law and final decree. In that case entitled The Gerlach Livestock Company, a Corporation, Plaintiff, vs. C.W. Fiske, Defendant, said decree ruled that Gerlach Livestock Company was entitled to the waters of Squaw Valley Creek and it's tributaries.

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that the facts relative to the appropriation of water Corporation by Bright-Holland Corporation, A Nevada are full and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Deponent is an employee of Thiel Engineering Consultants (TEC). TEC has been hired by the Bright-Holland Corporation to prepare this proof.

If proof is not made by claimant, deponent should state on this line by virtue of what authority he represents the claimant

Gregory M. Bilyeu - Agent

P O Box 70458

Telephone No. (775) 352-7800

RECEIVED
 AUG 27 PM 3:01
 WASHOE COUNTY CLERK

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of August, 1999.

Kathleen Ann Coulson



Notary Public in and for the County of Washoe

My commission expires June 17, 2001

\$100 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROOF