

STATE OF NEVADA

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION

Source Unnamed Springs
Name of natural water source (use separate proofs for each major source)

The water is diverted from its source Ditches and Laterals
Name of ditch, flume or pipe line

at the following point(s) Lot 10, Section 18, T.34N., R.22E., M.D.B. & M., or at points
List all points of diversion from this source, appending a sheet if necessary

from which the NW corner of said Section 18 bear the following courses and distances:
Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey, and by course and distance to a section corner. If on unsurveyed land, it should be stated
N. 41° 05' 19" W., 4,303.21 ft.; N.38° 06' 56" W., 4,354.34 ft.

(1) Name of claimant Bright-Holland Corporation, A Nevada Corporation
Address 3500 Lakeside Ct, Suite 101, Reno, County of Washoe
State of Nevada, 89509 Telephone No. (775) 826-5500

(2) The means of diversion employed Improved Springs, Ditches
Dam and ditch, pipe line, flume, etc.

(3) The date of the survey of ditch, canal, or pipe line was 1915

(4) The construction of the ditch or other works was begun 1887
and completed 1888

(5) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as originally constructed were: Width on bottom 1.5 feet, width on top 1 feet, depth 1 feet, on a grade of 4 feet per thousand feet.

(6) The conduit has (has not) been enlarged. UNKNOWN
NOTE-If enlargement or extension of ditch was made, supply information under (7) and (8)

(7) The work of enlargement of the ditch or canal was begun UNKNOWN and completed

(8) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as enlarged are: Width on bottom feet, width on top feet, depth feet, on a grade of feet per thousand feet.

(9) The claimant is (XXXX) an owner in the above-described conduit.
Patented Land now owned by Bright-Holland Corporation
If claimant is an owner in the conduit, state interest held on this line

(10) The nature of the title to the land for which the water right is claimed is Patented land now owned
by the Bright-Holland Corporation

(11) Crops of Meadow and Pasture
have been grown upon the land irrigated. (e.g. alfalfa, native hay, grain, orchard, meadow or diversified
pasture)

(12) The water has been used for irrigation from April 1 to October 31
of each year. Day of month Day of month

(13) List the year of priority for acreages irrigated prior to March 1, 1905, from all points of diversion
previously described, with corresponding subdivisions, appending extra sheets if necessary.

- 1887, 20.65 acres in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 13, T. 34N, R. 21 E.
Year
- 1887, 0.40 acres in the Lot 4 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 12.45 acres in the Lot 5 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 16.10 acres in the Lot 6 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 0.65 acres in the Lot 7 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 0.25 acres in the Lot 9 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 28.00 acres in the Lot 10 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 12.40 acres in the Lot 11 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 8.0 acres in the Lot 15 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
- 1887, 1.10 acres in the Lot 16 of Sec. 18, T. 34N, R. 22 E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.
-, acres in the..... of Sec....., T....., R..... E.

(14) The maximum acreage irrigated in any year was 100.00 acres.

(15) The water claimed has (has not) been used for irrigation each and every year since the right was initiated.

(16) The years during which no water was used for irrigation or during which the full water right was not used were Unknown Water still flows and irrigates stands of meadow.

If water was not used, or used in reduced quantity at any time, full information as to causes and duration of non-use should be

given, appending a sheet if necessary

(17) The claimant's water right ~~was~~ (was not) recorded in the office of the County Recorder of _____ County, said record being at page _____ of Book _____ of

_____, and being a claim for _____

_____ of water for the irrigation of _____

acres of land in the following legal subdivisions:

NOTE—Failure to record in the county in no way invalidates a water right, but if ditch or right was so recorded, supply full information under (17)

(18) Water from the source given and through the works described is also used for the following purposes other than irrigation:

Livestock and Wildlife Purposes

(19) The character of the soil is Sandy A continuous flow of 1.0 cubic
(Sandy, gravelly, loam)
feet of water per second has been used to irrigate 100.00 acres of land and 4.0
acre-feet per acre per annum have been used to irrigate the crops.

(20) Remarks... These springs form part of the waters of Squaw Valley Creek and were first beneficially used in 1887. Proofs of appropriation 01280 and 01281 were filed in 1913 by Gerlach Livestock Company for the waters of Squaw Creek and Clear Creek. Although there were lands irrigated along upper Squaw Creek, the original proofs and supporting maps prepared by H.L. McIntyre did not properly list and illustrate the lands irrigated. Subsequently new maps were prepared by F.B. Stewart of the State Engineers Office. These maps are on file in the office of the State Engineer. Attachment "A" is a letter dated June 17, 1916 from Gerlach Livestock Company that details the problems with the maps. A copy of a 1915 court decree declaring Gerlach Livestock Company as being entitled to the entire flow of Squaw Valley Creek and all tributaries is being submitted under the accompanying proof.

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that the facts relative to the appropriation of water by Bright-Holland Corporation, A Nevada Corporation are full and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Deponent is an employee of Thiel Engineering Consultants (TEC). TEC has been hired by the Bright-Holland Corporation to act as their agent. If proof is not made by claimant, deponent should state on this line by virtue of what authority he represents the claimant.


RECEIVED
 99 JUN -9 PM 4:33
 STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

Gregory M. Bilyeu-Agent
 P.O. BOX 70458
 Reno, NV, 89570-0458

Telephone No. (775) 352-7800

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of JUNE, 1999.

Marie Guardia



Notary Public in and for the County of Washoe

My commission expires March 9, 2003

\$100 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROOF