

THE STATE OF NEVADA
PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR
STOCK WATERING PURPOSES

(1) Name of claimant DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH.....

BIEROTH RANCH..... of MOUNTAIN CITY.....
Street and No. or P.O. Box No. City or town
NEVADA 89831.....
State and Zip Code No.

(2) Source of water SEE ATTACHED.....
Name of natural water source.

(3) The water is diverted by THE NATURAL CHANNEL, AND SPRING AREAS.....
Dam, ditch, pipe line, natural channel, spring area, etc.

(4) The water is diverted at the following point(s) SEE ATTACHED.....
Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey, and by course and distance to a section corner. If on unsurveyed land it should be stated. Diversion over a channel reach must be described by course and distance to a section corner for both the beginning and end of such reach.

(5) The water is impounded in THE NATURAL CHANNEL.....
Troughs, tanks, pools, reservoir, natural channel, etc.

(6) The construction of the ditch or other works was begun UNKNOWN.....
Date
and completed UNKNOWN.....
Date

(7) The nature of the claimant's title to the land upon which the source of water and place of use are located is DEEDED AND PUBLIC DOMAIN WITH GRAZING PERMIT.....
Patented, deeded, public domain with grazing permit, etc.

(8) The claimant's water right was (was not) recorded in the office of the County Recorder of SEE ATTACHED..... County, at Page..... of Book..... of.....
NOTE—Failure to record in the county in no way invalidates a water right, but if water right was so recorded, supply full information under (8).

038
EL

Sheer 1/1

(9) The approximate number of animals watered by the claimant during the first year.....
was.....cattle.....horses.....sheep or.....
Date Other The watering
was conducted during each of the following months.....

SEE ATTACHED

(10) The approximate number of animals watered by the claimant in subsequent years was as follows:

SEE ATTACHED

If water was not used, or used in reduced quantity at any time, full information as to causes and duration of non-use should be given.

(11) The amount of water which has been necessary to be diverted for this purpose has been.....

SEE ATTACHED cubic feet per second.
448.83 gal. per min. equals 1 cubic foot per second.

(12) The works are located at.....
Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision, section, township and range of public survey. If on unsurveyed

OF NATURAL CHANNEL.....
land, it should be stated.

Remarks.....

Remarks.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that the facts relative to the appropriation of water by...DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH
are full and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

..DEPONENT IS ACTING AS AUTHORIZED AGENT FOR CLAIMANT.....
If proof is not made by claimant, deponent should state on this line by virtue of what authority he represents the claimant.

DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH.....
Claimant

Michael D. Buschelman
By MICHAEL D. BUSCHELMAN, P.L.S.....
5405 MAE ANNE AVENUE.....
Street and No., or P.O. Box No.
RENO, NEVADA 89523.....
City, State, Zip Code No.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of JUNE, 1994.
Olivia R. Luck

Notary Public in and for the County of WASHOE, NEVADA.....
My commission expires Oct. 4, 1995.....



\$10 FILING FEE MUST ACCOMPANY PROOF

RECEIVED

OCT 15 1993

ATTACHMENT
2nd AMENDED
SERIAL NO. 06581

STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR STOCK WATERING PURPOSES

NAME OF CLAIMANT: DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH

ITEM NO. 2, ITEM NO. 4 - SOURCE OF WATER, POINT OF DIVERSION

SOURCE: CHICKEN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES

CHICKEN CREEK IS TRIBUTARY TO VAN DUZER CREEK

VAN DUZER AND TRIBUTARIES

POD #1: NW1/4 SW1/4 SECTION 30 T45N R54E, MDM.

USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD: MOUNTAIN CITY, NEVADA

ITEM NO. 6 - CONSTRUCTION OF THE DITCH OR OTHER WORKS

BEGUN PRIOR TO 1885; COMPLETED PRIOR TO 1885 - REFER ITEM NO. 3

ITEM NO. 8 - RECORDATION OF CLAIMANT'S WATER RIGHT

UNKNOWN

ITEM NO. 9 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN FIRST YEAR

CHICKEN CREEK, ITS TRIBUTARIES AND SPRINGS WITHIN ITS DRAINAGE 255 HEAD OF CATTLE FOR PERIOD OF USE BEING 4-1 TO 11-30. FIRST YEAR OF LIVESTOCK WATERING IS 1885.

ITEM NO. 10 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS

REFER TO ITEM #11

ITEM NO. 11 - AMOUNT OF WATER DIVERTED

THE TOTAL VOLUME OF WATER REQUIRED TO SATISFY THE NEED OF 255 HEAD OF CATTLE IS CALCULATED AS FOLLOWS:

20 GALLONS PER DAY X 255 HEAD OF CATTLE X 275 DAYS = 1,402,5000 GALLONS

20 GALLONS PER DAY X 255 HEAD OF CATTLE = 5100 GALLONS PER DAY

5100 GALLONS PER DAY = 0.008 CFS

THE RATE OF FLOW WILL BE CONSIDERABLY SMALLER THAN IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE WATER FOR STOCKWATERING PURPOSES, THEREFORE A VOLUME OF WATER IS MORE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NEEDS OF THE ANIMALS.

SUMMARY OF PRIORITY OF IRRIGATION USE
BY
BIEROTH RANCH

The Bieroth Ranch makes use of water for irrigation, domestic and livestock purposes of the following streams:

Van Duzer Creek and its tributary, Trail Creek
Owyhee River
Chicken Creek
Mill Creek

VAN DUZER CREEK

Records of the State Water Engineer contain Proof 01020 on this creek with a claimed priority of 1885, the basis of the claim being a Notice of Claim Location executed by Samuel E. McGinnes recorded in Book 3 of Miscellaneous Records at Page 548, Elko County, Nevada. The place of use is within Homestead Entry Survey 71. It is noted that the proof states that 71.01 acres are irrigated while the tabulation on the proof shows a total of 98.10 acres. The proof may require amendment. In addition, Mr. Bieroth has indicated that there is an additional diversion of this creek within the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 25, Township 45 North, Range 53 East, MDB&M, approximately 200 feet upstream from the point where the main ditch crosses Chicken Creek, which he felt should be included. As this is not a diversion from the channel, but a ditch, it may not be desired to include in the map.

As the diversion point on Van Duzer Creek is immediately below the point where Trail Creek enters Van Duzer Creek, Mr. Bieroth has felt that Trail Creek should be included as a source. The affidavit of George Nelson filed with the proof concerns use upon Van Duzer Creek and Chicken Creek, which apparently includes not only Homestead Entry Survey 71 but also Homestead Entry Survey 225.

Under Applications 23601 through 23605, a map was prepared, apparently to supplement the proof.

The existing proof apparently does not include Homestead Entry Survey 225.

The diversion of water by Joseph R. Paleni and W. D. Hand along Van Duzer Creek is further evidenced by an article in "The Mountain City News" of January 12, 1898 which states they are stockmen in that area.

No information for priority prior to 1885 is found.

CHICKEN CREEK

It appears that the affidavit of George Nelson applies to that portion of Homestead Entry Survey 71 on Chicken Creek, together with Van Duzer Creek. For that reason, the 1885 priority date appears correct.

The proof as filed shows a very small part of Homestead Entry Survey 225. A further claim of water from Chicken Creek is found in a claim executed by William T. McGinnes recorded in Book 3 of Miscellaneous Records at Page 548 for 400 miner's inches with the point of diversion being one and one-quarter miles from the mouth of the creek with a priority date of 1888. This would encompass a portion of Homestead Entry Survey 225. It is not apparent if this claim was included in the previous proof.

OWYHEE RIVER

There is no evidence of use of water from the Owyhee River, primarily within Homestead Entry Survey 167, except for Application 23601 which states diversion would be by a pump. It is understood this has not been done.

MILL CREEK

The lands upon Mill Creek are portions of Homestead Entry Surveys 63, 93 and 204 and are owned as to an undivided one-half interest by Bieroth Ranch with the other one-half owned by heirs of Hugh C. Bieroth. These lands are in the drainage below the Rio Tinto Mine and presently have a water right under Certificate 2382, Permit 9610 with a priority of 1932. Drainage from the old mine dumps has eliminated the meadow shown under the proof map, and it is presently of pasture use.

The only evidence of early diversion of water is of two land claims, one by Michael McCall and the other by T. B. Kerr recorded in Book 1 of Land Claims at Pages 77 and 78, which may substantiate a priority of 1870. As this land is owned one-half by Bieroth Ranch and one-half by the heirs, it will be necessary for Dennis Bieroth to make the decision if he desires to pursue a vested water right.

A Mrs. J. R. McGinness is assessed for a possessory claim approximately two miles from Mountain City in 1888, which would possibly be upon Mill Creek.

In connection with the water rights to be claimed, there is some ditch and culture information on the Homestead Entry Surveys, all of which were prepared after 1920. These are available at the BLM.

ATTACHMENT
2nd AMENDED
SERIAL NO. 06581

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR STOCK WATERING PURPOSES

NAME OF CLAIMANT: DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH

ITEM NO. 2, ITEM NO. 4 - SOURCE OF WATER, POINT OF DIVERSION

SOURCE: CHICKEN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES
CHICKEN CREEK IS TRIBUTARY TO VAN DUZER CREEK
VAN DUZER AND TRIBUTARIES
POD #1: NW1/4 SW1/4 SECTION 30 T45N R54E, MDM.

USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD: MOUNTAIN CITY, NEVADA

ITEM NO. 6 - CONSTRUCTION OF THE DITCH OR OTHER WORKS

BEGUN PRIOR TO 1885; COMPLETED PRIOR TO 1885 - REFER ITEM NO. 3

ITEM NO. 8 - RECORDATION OF CLAIMANT'S WATER RIGHT

UNKNOWN

ITEM NO. 9 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN FIRST YEAR

UNKNOWN

ITEM NO. 10 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS

REFER TO ITEM #11

ITEM NO. 11 - AMOUNT OF WATER DIVERTED

THE TOTAL VOLUME OF WATER REQUIRED TO SATISFY THE NEED OF 255 HEAD OF CATTLE IS CALCULATED AS FOLLOWS:

20 GALLONS PER DAY X 255 HEAD OF CATTLE X 275 DAYS = 1,402,500 GALLONS

20 GALLONS PER DAY X 255 HEAD OF CATTLE = 5100 GALLONS PER DAY
5100 GALLONS PER DAY = 0.008 CFS

THE RATE OF FLOW WILL BE CONSIDERABLY SMALLER THAN IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE WATER FOR STOCKWATERING PURPOSES, THEREFORE A VOLUME OF WATER IS MORE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NEEDS OF THE ANIMALS.

12-15-83 11:35 AM

PRIORITY OF IRRIGATION WATER
 WITHIN THE OWYHEE RIVER BASIN
 OF
 BIEROTH RANCH

This property makes use of water for irrigation, together with supplementary uses of domestic and livestock upon private lands from the following streams:

Van Duzer Creek
 Chicken Creek
 Owyhee River
 Mill Creek

Proofs of vested water rights have been filed on only Van Duzer Creek and Chicken Creek under Proofs 02616 and 01020. Proof 01020 specifies 71.01 acres, but the culture map shows 98.10 irrigated acres from Van Duzer Creek. An amended proof will correct acreage. Proof 02616 claims 45.60 acres from Chicken Creek.

Permits have been issued or applied for. A summary of all available filings in the State Water office is as follows:

<u>Proof or Permit No.</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Priority</u>
Proof 01020	Van Duzer Creek	98.10	1885
Proof 02616	Chicken Creek	45.60	1885
Application 23603(Application 23604(Application 23605(Van Duzer Creek and Chicken Creek	Apparently Supplemental to Proofs	No Action
Application 23601(Application 23602(Owyhee River	44.00	No Action.
Permit 6003	Van Duzer Creek	12.73	1920
Permit 9610	Mill Creek	75.07*	1932

* Portion not owned

VAN DUZER CREEK

The proof which has been filed states that the claimant's water right was filed in Book 3 of Miscellaneous Records at Page 548 with a priority of 1885 and the place of use described in Homestead Entry Survey 71. This document claims a priority of 1888, but apparently 1885 was the date use actually began.

CHICKEN CREEK

The proof which has been filed states that the claimant's water right was not filed in the office of the County Recorder of Elko County, Nevada. However, a Statement of Water Right by William T. McGinness lists a point of diversion about 1½ miles from the mouth of Chicken Creek as recorded in Book 3 of Miscellaneous Records at Page 548 with a priority of 1888. It appears that this priority should also be 1885 as claimed in the proof.

OWYHEE RIVER

No proof was found in the office of the State Water Engineer as to use on the Owyhee River. Application 23601 was apparently made for this purpose. However, a Statement of Water Right executed by James L. McGinness locates a point of diversion about two miles above the Vance Mill Dam, said statement recorded in Book 3 of Miscellaneous Records at Page 558, Elko County, Nevada, claiming diversion in 1888.

In an Affidavit executed by S. E. McGinness for Joseph R. Paleni, recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Elko County, Nevada concerning the I F brand, he states in 1913 that he has been a resident of the area for forty years which would be 1873. Therefore, his claim of priority of 1885 appears appropriate.

The above concerns Homestead Entry Surveys 71, 167 and 225. Proofs 01020 and 02616 refer to an Affidavit by George Nelson. This Affidavit was not supplied in the information received and should be reviewed to attempt to corroborate the statements made above, as the descriptions contained in the Statement of Water Rights are difficult to locate with any certainty.

MILL CREEK

The State Water Engineer's office does not show any vested rights upon Mill Creek. However, they do show a certificate with a date of priority of 1932. In the event it is possible to show an earlier priority through proof of vested water rights, this should be done.

ATTACHMENT
AMENDED
SERIAL NO. 06581

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR STOCK WATERING PURPOSES

NAME OF CLAIMANT: DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH

ITEM NO. 2, ITEM NO. 4 - SOURCE OF WATER, POINT OF DIVERSION

SOURCE: CHICKEN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES
CHICKEN CREEK IS TRIBUTARY TO VAN DUZER CREEK
VAN DUZER AND TRIBUTARIES
POD #1: NW1/4 SW1/4 SECTION 30 T47N R54E, MDM.

USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUAD: MOUNTAIN CITY, NEVADA

ITEM NO. 6 - CONSTRUCTION OF THE DITCH OR OTHER WORKS

BEGUN PRIOR TO 1905; COMPLETED PRIOR TO 1905

ITEM NO. 8 - RECORDATION OF CLAIMANT'S WATER RIGHT

UNKNOWN

ITEM NO. 9 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN FIRST YEAR

UNKNOWN

ITEM NO. 10 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS

REFER TO ITEM #11

ITEM NO. 11 - AMOUNT OF WATER DIVERTED

THE TOTAL VOLUME OF WATER REQUIRED TO SATISFY THE NEED OF 255 HEAD OF CATTLE IS CALCULATED AS FOLLOWS:

$$\begin{aligned} &20 \text{ GALLONS PER DAY} \times 255 \text{ HEAD OF CATTLE} \times 275 \text{ DAYS} \\ &= 1,402,5000 \text{ GALLONS} \end{aligned}$$

THE RATE OF FLOW WILL BE CONSIDERABLY SMALLER THAN IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE WATER FOR STOCKWATERING PURPOSES, THEREFORE A VOLUME OF WATER IS MORE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NEEDS OF THE ANIMALS.

ATTACHMENT

SERIAL NO. _____

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR STOCK WATERING PURPOSES

NAME OF CLAIMANT: DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH

ITEM NO. 2, ITEM NO. 4 - SOURCE OF WATER, POINT OF DIVERSION

**SOURCE: CHICKEN CREEK AND VON DUZER AND TRIBUTARIES
POD #1: XX1/4 XX1/4 SECTION X TXXN RXXE, MDM.**

ITEM NO. 11 - AMOUNT OF WATER DIVERTED

THE TOTAL VOLUME OF WATER REQUIRED TO SATISFY THE NEED OF XXX HEAD OF CATTLE, XXX HEAD OF HORSES, XXX HEAD OF SHEEP IS CALCULATED AS FOLLOWS:

20 GALLONS PER DAY X # OF CATTLE X # OF DAYS =

20 GALLONS PER DAY X # OF HORSES X # OF DAYS =

4 GALLONS PER DAY X # OF SHEEP X # OF DAYS =

THE RATE OF FLOW WILL BE CONSIDERABLY SMALLER THAN IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE WATER FOR STOCKWATERING PURPOSES, THEREFORE A VOLUME OF WATER IS MORE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NEEDS OF THE ANIMALS.

INFORMATION IS NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME BUT WILL BE PROVIDED IN THE NEAR FUTURE

ATTACHMENT

SERIAL NO. _____

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR STOCK WATERING PURPOSES

NAME OF CLAIMANT: DENNIS AND MARCIA BIEROTH

ITEM NO. 8 - RECORDATION OF CLAIMANT'S WATER RIGHT

INFORMATION IS NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME BUT WILL BE PROVIDED IN THE NEAR FUTURE IF DOCUMENTATION CAN BE LOCATED

ITEM NO. 9 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN FIRST YEAR

INFORMATION NOT RESEARCHED AS OF THIS DATE

ITEM NO. 10 - LIVESTOCK WATERED BY CLAIMANT IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS

INFORMATION NOT YET RESEARCHED, BUT WILL BE PROVIDED UPON REQUEST