

THE STATE OF NEVADA  
PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION

From DRY CREEK  
Name of natural water source

Through North ranch ditches  
Name of ditch, flume, or pipe line

Roger Smith, the undersigned, being first  
duly sworn, deposes and says that the facts relative to the appropriation of water by

Roger Smith are full and correct to the best of his  
knowledge and belief.

If proof is not made by claimant, deponent should state on this line by virtue of what authority he represents the claimant

(1) Name of claimant ROGER SMITH  
Address Arthur Route, Wells, County of EIko  
State of Nevada

(2) The means of diversion employed dams, ditches & natural channel  
Dam and ditch, pipe line, flume, etc.

(3) The date of the survey of ditch, canal, or pipe line was Oct 21<sup>st</sup> & Nov 25<sup>th</sup>, 1961

(4) The construction of the ditch or other works was begun 1870  
and completed 1895

(5) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as originally constructed were: Width on bottom Varies  
feet, width on top Varies feet, depth Varies feet, on a grade of Varies feet per thousand feet.

(6) The conduit ~~has~~ (has not) been enlarged.  
NOTE—If enlargement or extension of ditch was made, supply information under (7) and (8)

(7) The work of enlargement of the ditch or canal was begun.....  
and completed.....

(8) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as enlarged are: Width on bottom.....feet, width on  
top.....feet, depth.....feet, on a grade of.....feet per thousand feet.

(9) The claimant is (is not) an owner in the above-described conduit.

If claimant is an owner in the conduit, state interest held on this line

(10) The nature of the title to the land for which the water right is claimed is Patented land

(11) Crops of grass hay, grain, alfalfa and pasture  
have been grown upon the land irrigated.

(12) The water has been used for irrigation from Jan 1 to Dec 31  
Day of month Day of month  
of each year.

(13) The water was first used for irrigation by claimant or his grantors in the year 1870  
when 22 acres were irrigated in the SW<sup>4</sup>NE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13  
T. 32N, R. 59 E., M.D.B. & M.

(14) The additional number of acres first irrigated in subsequent years was as follows:

1871, 18 acres in the SW<sup>4</sup> NE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.  
 Year

1871, 25 acres in the SE<sup>4</sup> NE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1873, 80 acres in the N<sup>2</sup> SE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1874, 80 acres in the W<sup>2</sup> SW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 18, T. 32 N, R. 60 E.

1880, 80 acres in the E<sup>2</sup> SW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 18, T. 32 N, R. 60 E.

1880, 80 acres in the S<sup>2</sup> SE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 18, T. 32 N, R. 60 E.

1890, 80 acres in the N<sup>2</sup> NE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 19, T. 32 N, R. 60 E.

1890, 40 acres in the SE<sup>4</sup> NE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 19, T. 32 N, R. 60 E.

1895, 40 acres in the SW<sup>4</sup> NW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 20, T. 32 N, R. 60 E.

1895, 31 acres in the NW<sup>4</sup> NW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1895, 32 acres in the SW<sup>4</sup> NW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1895, 29 acres in the NW<sup>4</sup> SW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1895, 17 acres in the NE<sup>4</sup> SE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 14, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1895, 2 acres in the SE<sup>4</sup> SE<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 14, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

1895, 4 acres in the SW<sup>4</sup> SW<sup>4</sup> of Sec. 13, T. 32 N, R. 59 E.

Remarks:

Append a sheet if necessary

(15) The maximum acreage irrigated in any year was 660 acres.

(16) The water claimed has (~~has not~~) been used for irrigation each and every year since the right was initiated.  
Strike out one not wanted

(17) The years during which no water was used for irrigation or during which the full water right was not used were

If water was not used, or used in reduced quantity at any time, full information as to causes and duration

of non-use should be given, appending a sheet if necessary

(18) The claimant's water right ~~was~~ (was not) recorded in the office of the County Recorder of \_\_\_\_\_ County, said record being at page \_\_\_\_\_ of Book \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_, and being a claim for \_\_\_\_\_ of water for the irrigation of \_\_\_\_\_

acres of land in the following legal subdivisions:

Note—Failure to record in the county in no way invalidates a water right, but if ditch or right was so recorded, supply full information under (19)

(19) The map provided by the State Engineer and attached hereto as a part of this proof is hereby accepted as correctly showing the ditch lines or irrigation works diverting or conveying the water claimed and the lands irrigated.

(20) Water from the source given and through the works described is also used for the following purposes other than irrigation: Stockwatering

(21) The character of the soil is gravelly (Sandy, gravelly, loam) The soil needs 5 acre-feet per annum to properly irrigate the crops. A continuous flow of 3.0 cubic feet of water per second, during the irrigation season of 9 months, is needed to irrigate each 100 acres of land.

(22) Remarks: This creek has been called "DAD CREEK" but according to the records of the State Engineer Dad Creek is the creek just to the north of this creek. Therefore this creek is called "DRY CREEK"

*Roger Smith*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of December, 1961

Mrs. Hilda Sherwood

Notary Public in and for the County of Ormsby

My commission expires July 1, 1965

This part of form to be left blank by claimant

Filing Serial No. 02499

STATE OF NEVADA

PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF  
WATER FOR IRRIGATION

Water Source.....

Through.....

Claimant.....

.....

Filed in the office of the State Engineer on

....., 19.....

.....  
State Engineer.

Certificate No. .... issued hereunder

....., 19.....

1956 DEC 27 PM 1 56

STATE ENGINEER