

Repealed

THE STATE OF NEVADA
PROOF OF APPROPRIATION OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION

From Lund Spring (See remarks.)
Name of natural water source

Through Ditches
Name of ditch, flume, or pipe line

James H. Jensen, the undersigned, being first
duly sworn, deposes and says that the facts relative to the appropriation of water by The Jensen
Brothers and their predecessors are full and correct to the best of his
knowledge and belief.

Share-holder in said Company.
If proof is not made by claimant, deponent should state on this line by virtue of what authority he represents the claimant

(1) Name of claimant Jensen Brothers & Company.
Address Preston, County of White Pine
State of Nevada.

(2) The means of diversion employed Dams and Ditches
Dam and ditch, pipe line, flume, etc.

(3) The date of the survey of ditch, canal, or pipe line was Never surveyed

(4) The construction of the ditch or other works was begun 1892
and completed 1892

(5) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as originally constructed were: Width on bottom 3
feet, width on top 7 1/2 feet, depth 1 feet, on a grade of 5 feet per thousand feet.

(6) The conduit has (has not) been enlarged.
NOTE—If enlargement or extension of ditch was made, supply information under (7) and (8)

(7) The work of enlargement of the ditch or canal was begun
and completed

(8) The dimensions of the ditch or canal as enlarged are: Width on bottom _____ feet, width on
top _____ feet, depth _____ feet, on a grade of _____ feet per thousand feet.

(9) The claimant is (is not) an owner in the above-described conduit.
Sole Owners
If claimant is an owner in the conduit, state interest held on this line

(10) The nature of the title to the land for which the water right is claimed is Contract and
State land

(11) Crops of Pasturage
have been grown upon the land irrigated.

(12) The water has been used for irrigation from Nov. 15th to April 1st
of each year. Day of month Day of month

(13) The water was first used for irrigation by claimant or their grantors in the year 1892
when 350 acres were irrigated in the SE 1/4 of Sec. 18
T. 11N, R. 62 E., also NE 1/4 Sec. 19, SW 1/4 Sec. 17, and
NW 1/4 Sec. 20.

(14) The additional number of acres first irrigated in subsequent years was as follows:

Year acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.
 acres in the	of Sec.	T.	R.	E.

Remarks:
Append a sheet if necessary

(15) The maximum acreage irrigated in any year was 350 acres.

(16) The water claimed ~~has~~ (has not) been used for irrigation each and every year since the right was initiated.
Strike out one not wanted

(17) The years during which no water was used for irrigation or during which the full water right was not used were... (See Remarks... concerning this.)
If water was not used, or used in reduced quantity at any time, full information as to causes and duration

of non-use should be given, appending a sheet if necessary

(18) The claimant's water right ~~was~~ (was not) recorded in the office of the County Recorder of County, said record being at page of Book of

....., and being a claim for
..... of water for the irrigation of
acres of land in the following legal subdivisions:

NOTE—Failure to record in the county in no way invalidates a water right, but if ditch or right was so recorded, supply full information under (19)

- (19) The map provided by the State Engineer and attached hereto as a part of this proof is hereby accepted as correctly showing the ditch lines or irrigation works diverting or conveying the water claimed and the lands irrigated.
- (20) Water from the source given and through the works described is also used for the following purposes other than irrigation: Stock purposes.

(21) Remarks: Evidence of these old dams and ditches are still to be seen, but how long they remained after their construction in 1892 we are unable to find out. We have recently bought this pasture (Feb. 1912) from the Nevada Land and Livestock Co. and whether they constructed any dams or ditches, we do not know. Not having owned the pasture long, we have done nothing yet, but would like to rebuild these dams and also construct some further down. We would also like to put some dams in both the White River and Preston Spring water sloughs.

UNDER THE NAME OF THE NATURAL WATER SOURCE; We have filled this proof out for the Lund Spring water. At present, only the water from the Lund Spring flows through the slough in which these old dams are found, but when they were put in in 1892, the water from the Springs near Preston, - namely the Nicholas, Cold, Horsley, and Preston Big Spring, - in addition to the Lund Spring water, flowed through here. Since the people have settled in Lund, they have diverted this water from the Springs near Preston so that it flows through another channel, this being the reason for the change.

James A. Jensen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 31 day of August, 1912

Henry Behrman

Notary Public in and for the County of White Pine

My commission expires Aug 27 1914

This part of form to be left blank by claimant

Filing Serial No. 01174

STATE OF NEVADA

Proof of Appropriation of
Water for Irrigation

Water Source.....
Through.....
Claimant.....
.....
.....

Filed in the Office of the State Engineer on

December 2, 1912.

State Engineer

Certificate No. issued hereunder

....., 191.....