

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATION 9360 FILED BY)
G.R. HOLCOMB ESTATE CO. TO CHANGE THE POINT)
OF DIVERSION, PLACE AND MANNER OF USE)
HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED FROM THOMAS CREEK)
IN WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA.)

RULING

GENERAL:

Application 9360 was filed on October 30, 1930, to change the point of diversion, place and manner of use of 0.375 cfs of the waters of Thomas Creek which has been beneficially used on the following lands described under the Temporary Restraining Order in Equity Docket A3, the United State of America vs. Orr Water Ditch Company, et al, page 82:

7.4 acres in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 5
40.0 acres in the ME $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 5
16.4 acres in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 5
39.5 acres in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 5
37.1 acres in the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 5

all in T18N., R20E., MDB&M.

18/19
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$
Under this application, it is proposed to change 0.375 cfs of water appurtenant to the above lands to mining, milling and domestic uses at a point in the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 32, T18N., R20E., MDB&M., from a point described as within the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 7, T18N., R20E., MDB&M. The new place of use is described as within the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 32, T18N., R20E., MDB&M. Protests to the granting of this application were filed as follows: January 9, 1931, by Frank Pecitti, Joe Casazza, Dave Casazza and Mrs. C. Casazza; January 11, 1931, by Joe Gardella and D. Filipelli; January 16, 1931, by Joe Ferretto and John Pecetti; January 19, 1931, by Anthony Casazza.


The above lands described under the Temporary Restraining Order, in Equity Docket A3, the United States of America vs. Orr Water Ditch Co., et al, are also described under Claim No. 719, Final Decree, the United States of America vs. Orr Water Ditch Co., et al, in Equity Docket A3.

A letter was sent to the applicant on October 30, 1968, by certified mail requesting additional information necessary before further action could be taken on Application 9360. The letter was returned by the Post Office Department stamped "Addressee Unknown". It is the responsibility of the applicant or his successor in interest to keep this office informed of their current mailing address.

RULING

Application 9360 is herewith denied on the grounds that the applicant or his successor in interest failed to submit additional information and approval of this application would be detrimental to the public welfare.

Respectfully submitted,


Roland D. Westergard
State Engineer

RDW:WJN:dc

Dated this 19th day
of December, 1968.