

Retyped from original for clarity.

IN THE SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PERSHING.

In the Matter of the Determination
of the Relative Rights in and to
the Waters of Clear Creek, in
Pershing County, State of Nevada.

DECREE AFFIRMING ORDER
OF
DETERMINATION.

This cause coming on to be heard on the Twenty-fifth day of November, A.D., 1919, upon the motion of Frank Curran, Esq., attorney for the State Engineer, to affirm the Order of Determination heretofore filed herein; and it appearing that due notice of the hearing had been given in the manner required by law and that no exceptions to said Order of Determination had been filed:

ORDERED, that the said Order of Determination made by the State Engineer, be, and the same is hereby affirmed, and the same is hereby made a part of this decree; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that this Decree with said Order of Determination be duly recorded as the Decree of this Court; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that each party pay his own costs.

Done in Open Court this 25th
day of November, A. D., 1919.

James A. Callahan
District Judge

Retyped from original for clarity.

STATE OF NEVADA
STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

In the Matter of the Determination of the
Relative Rights in and to the Waters of
Clear Creek, in Pershing County, Nevada.

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

Owing to difficulty over the distribution of Clear Creek water during the season of 1918, an order was entered on June 10, 1918 for the determination of the relative rights in accordance with the provisions of Sec. 18, Chapter 140, Statutes of 1913. All of the statutory provisions (except where same were expressly waived by all parties in interest) have been complied with and this order of determination is prepared for filing with the District Court having jurisdiction as required by law.

Proofs of appropriation of water from Clear Creek, had been filed by all vested water users before the order was entered selecting that stream for a determination of the relative rights. Prior to the preparation of the abstract of claims and amended proof of appropriation was filed by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company successor to Richard Glanville, claimant in the original proof.

After the abstract of claims was prepared and copies mailed to each water user of record an amended proof was filed on behalf of the Estate of Harriet Guthrie, and a further amended proof by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company. An objection on behalf of Messrs. J. Sheehan and Charles Farrel to those amended claims filed after the abstract was prepared, was made when they came up for consideration at the hearing held in

Retyped from original for clarity.

connection with the adjudication. This objection was noted in the record and the said amended claims were then considered at the hearing.

The hearing referred to resulted from a formal protest filed on behalf of the Estate of Harriet Guthrie against the claims of Messrs. Sheehan and Farrel and of the Liotard Land & Livestock Company. It was held in the Court House at Winnemucca on the 10th to 14th day of December, 1918 and on the 19th to 21st of February, 1919.

Proofs of appropriation of water from Clear Creek were filed for three ranches. Highest on the source is that known as the Clear Creek Ranch now owned by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company. The next place is that owned by Messrs. Sheehan and Farrel known as the "Grass Valley" or "Thomas Nelson" ranch. The lowest place on the creek for which a water right is claimed is that of the Estate of Harriet Guthrie.

One of the main questions at issue in the adjudication was the area of land for which the Liotard Land & Livestock Company are entitled to a water right. The irrigated area claimed in the final amended proof of that company is 733 acres. In the first amended proof the irrigated area listed by sub-divisions is 488 acres. In the original proof made by the former owner, Richard Glanville, the irrigated area is given as 218 acres and it is further stated in the proof that other land "was sown to grain and irrigated prior to 1878. The amount of this land under cultivation at that time is difficult to determine." A cultural map filed with the original proof of appropriation indicates that the area being cultivated in 1914 was 218 acres. With the final amended proof another cultural map was filed whereon it is indicated that 735 acres were being irrigated in 1918.

This large difference in the two proofs and cultural maps was partly explained by evidence given at the hearing. It appears that prior to about the year 1890 grain was the

Retyped from original for clarity.

main product of the Clear Creek Ranch. The testimony varies as to the maximum amount of land irrigated in any year during the period that grain was being raised, the figure ranging between 300 and 500 acres. However the same land was not planted each year so that a considerable greater area was kept cleared of brush than was cultivated in any one year. Since about 1890 it appears that alfalfa has been the principal crop on the ranch together with some garden, orchard, and cleared pasture lands that have been irrigated regularly. Of this cleared and cultivated land it appears there was about 218 acres when the first cultural map was made and proof of appropriation filed in 1914.

But it appears from the evidence that in addition to the use of water regularly through the irrigation season on the 218 acres of cultivated land the waste water therefrom, and also surplus water direct from the source, was from time to time turned onto other land that had previously been planted to grain but on which brush has been growing since the former owners quit the extensive raising of grain. This surplus and waste water has been turned on to the land, according to the evidence, for the purpose of raising grass among the brush for pasture. The second cultural map indicates some 500 acres of this brush land as meadow in addition to regularly cultivated land, and it is also included in the final amended proof of appropriation claiming 733 acres of irrigated land.

The amount of land of the Liotard, Land & Livestock Company found to have a water right in this order of determination is based on investigations on the ground by Seymour Case, Assistant State Engineer, as well as on evidence given at the hearing. The field investigations were made on March 25th and May 25, 1919. The sage-brush area was gone over carefully. The almost total absence of grass on a large part of this area indicates that very little water has been diverted on it. Also there is no system of

Retyped from original for clarity.

ditches that would properly water the sloping land in the Northwest portion of the ranch. The few water courses in evidence on that portion of the land seem to be for the most part in the swales or depressions where it found its way naturally. On other portions of the brush land referred to within the boundary fence old furrows are yet in evidence that were used when grain was raised there. In the brush land lying directly west of the cultivated portion of the ranch and over which the drainage water from the alfalfa would naturally flow there is considerable grass among the brush and small patches of grass land devoid of brush, indicating that same had been watered quite regularly. A considerable part of the brush land had been recently plowed and plowing was going on at the date of first visit. Upturned brush was in evidence over all the recently plowed area but differed in color and size in different parts of the tract indicating varying ages of growth.

The second cultural map of the ranch filed with the State Engineer, on December 6, 1918, whereon all the brush area is indicated as meadow, is mis-leading since that land, previous to the time it was plowed, could not properly be termed anything better than uncleared pasture.

From the field investigations of the land and conclusions reached from evidence given at the hearing it is the finding in this order that the Liotard Land & Livestock Company have a vested water right from Clear Creek for the areas listed by subdivisions in the tabulation attached hereto.

The duty of water on the land for which a water right is allowed on the Clear Creek Ranch is fixed at 75 acres per cubic feet per second for an irrigation period of six months. This allowance is generally considered sufficient to properly serve land of similar character and physical conditions in the state. Evidence given at the hearing indicated that this land

Retyped from original for clarity.

requires more water than the lower bottom lands of the Sheehan-Farrel and Guthrie ranches, which is undoubtedly true. The duty of water for the two lower ranches referred to has been fixed in this order at 100 acres to the cubic foot per second over an irrigation period of six months. This rate allows 3.6 acre feet per acre per season which has been found generally sufficient for lands under similar conditions in other parts of the state. As none of the ditches supplying lands irrigated from Clear Creek are very long the above allowances of water may properly be measured at the several points of diversion from the main source.

The relative priorities of water right for the lands of the Sheehan-Farrel and Guthrie ranches as fixed in the tabulation attached hereto are the conclusions reached from the evidence given at the hearing referred to. The conditions of use and times of appropriation as nearly as they were disclosed indicated that the upper portion of the Sheehan-Farrel ranch should be allotted the second priority right on the source. Following that priority is allowed for the so-called "Nigger-Henry" portion of the Guthrie ranch. The next priority is allotted to the area comprising the "Rye Grass Field" of the Sheehan-Farrel ranch. The following and latest priority under vested right from the source is allotted to remaining irrigated lands of the Guthrie ranch.

In the record of the hearing held in this matter will be found a copy of an "Agreement between Richard Glanville and John Waterman." The parties named were former owners respectively of the ranches now owned by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company and by Messrs. J. Sheehan and Charles Farrel. The agreement provides for a certain distribution of the waters of Clear Creek between the two ranches.

Retyped from original for clarity.

This order of determination has been made without regard to the conditions of that agreement, but with the sole effort of fixing the several priorities and amounts of water right as nearly as possible in accordance with the lawful appropriations and beneficial use in the past as disclosed by the evidence and investigations. There is certainly a conflict between the manner of distribution provided for in that agreement and the findings in this order of determination but notwithstanding such conflict it is deemed the duty of the State Engineer to act in accordance with the statutory provisions that "beneficial use shall be the basis, the measure and the limit of the right to the use of water".

Appropriator	Stream	Ditch	Priority	Acres	Section	Subdivision	Tp-N	R-E	Cu. Ft. per sec	Acre ft. per season	Irrigation Period
Liotard Land & Livestock Co. (01321) <i>Assigned Nov. 2, 1922 to Winnemucca State Bank and Trust Co. Deed filed June 19, 1931 in file 6373</i>	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Canal	1866	18.0	14	NE¼SW¼	33	38	5.72	2040	Mar.1-Sept.1
				19.5	14	SE¼SW¼	33	38			
				31.3	14	SW¼SW¼	33	38			
				35.6	14	NW¼SW¼	33	38			
				0.3	14	SW¼NW¼	33	38			
				2.0	15	SE¼NE¼	33	38			
				37.8	15	NE¼SE¼	33	38			
				33.5	15	SE¼SE¼	33	38			
				26.1	15	SW¼SE¼	33	38			
				38.1	15	NW¼SE¼	33	38			
				14.7	15	SW¼NE¼	33	38			
				31.6	15	SE¼NW¼	33	38			
				38.8	15	NE¼SW¼	33	38			
				14.3	15	SE¼SW¼	33	38			
				8.0	15	SW¼SW¼	33	38			
				39.2	15	NW¼SW¼	33	38			
				37.2	15	SW¼NW¼	33	38			
2.8	15	NW¼NW¼	33	38							
				428.8							
J. Sheehan and Charles Farrell <i>Cert. 252 Proof No. 01523</i>	Clear Creek	Ditch No. 1	1869	6.5	18	SE¼SW¼	33	38	1.9	675	Mar.1-Sept.1
				21.2	18	Lot 4	33	38			
				17.5	19	NE¼NW¼	33	38			
				4.5	19	Lot 1	33	38			
				20.9	13	SE¼SE¼	33	37			
				2.5	13	SW¼SE¼	33	37			
		Ditch No. 2		8.5	19	NE¼NW¼	33	38			
				34.3	19	Lot 1	33	38			
				14.8	13	SE¼SE¼	33	37			
				9.6	13	SW¼SE¼	33	37			
				4.3	24	SE¼NE¼	33	37			
				5.1	24	NW¼NE¼	33	37			
				40.0	24	NE¼NE¼	33	37			
				189.7							

Appropriator	Stream	Ditch	Priority	Acres	Section	Subdivision	Tp-N	R-E	Cu. Ft. per sec	Acre ft. per season	Irrigation Period				
Estate of Harriet Guthrie, an incom- petent. Arthur W. Guthrie, guardian.	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Ditch	1870	30.6	26	NW¼NW¼	34	37							
				14.4	26	NE¼NW¼	34	37							
				73.3	26	S½SW¼	34	37							
				75.5	26	N½SW¼	34	37							
				193.8	Total									1.94	690
J. Sheehan and Charles Farrell <i>Cert. 254 Proof No. 01107</i>	Clear Creek	Overflow sub-irri- gation & ditches	1878	72.8	13	W½NW¼	33	37							
				0.6	13	NE¼NW¼	33	37							
				19.7	13	SE¼NW¼	33	37							
				125.7	13	SW¼	33	37							
				15.0	13	NW¼SE¼	33	37							
				27.0	13	SW¼SE¼	33	37							
				80.0	14	E½NE¼	33	37							
				7.5	14	NW¼NE¼	33	37							
				4.7	14	SW¼NE¼	33	37							
				18.0	14	NE¼SE¼	33	37							
				1.3	24	NE¼NW¼	33	37							
				23.0	24	NW¼NE¼	33	37							
				395.3	Total									3.95	1410
Estate of Harriet Guthrie, an incom- petent. Arthur W. Guthrie, guardian. <i>1107 1108 1109</i>	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Ditch. Laterals and overflow	1892	297.2	11	E½	33	37	3.12	1110	Mar.1-Sept.1				
				15.0	12	SW¼SW¼	33	37							
			312.2	Total											
			1902	15.9	27	E½NE¼	34	37				<i>See app 20374 for underground</i>			
				312.5	35	W½	34	37							
				72.7	35	W¼SE¼	34	37							
				12.3	35	W¼NE¼	34	37							
413.4	Total						4.13	1470	Mar.1-Sept.1						

*Dated this 12th, day of June, 1919.
J.G. Scrugham,
State Engineer
by Seymour Case
Asst. State Engineer*

STATE OF NEVADA
STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

In the matter of the Determination of the
Relative Rights in and to the waters of
Clear Creek, in Pershing County, Nevada.

ORDER OF DETERMINATION

Owing to difficulty over the distribution of Clear Creek water during the season of 1918, an order was entered on June 18, 1918 for the determination of relative rights in accordance with the provisions of sec. 18, Chapter 149, Statutes of 1915. All of the statutory provisions (except those same were expressly waived by all parties in interest) have been complied with and this order of determination is prepared for filing with the District Court having jurisdiction as required by law.

Proofs of appropriation of water from Clear Creek, had been filed by all vested water users before the order was entered selecting that stream for a determination of the relative rights. Prior to the preparation of the abstract of claims an amended proof of appropriation was filed by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company successor to Richard Liotard, claimant in the original proof.

After the abstract of claims was prepared and copies mailed to each water user of record an amended proof was filed on behalf of the estate of Harriet Guthrie, and a farther amended proof by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company. An objection on behalf of Messrs. J. Sheehan and Charles Farrel to these amended claims filed after the abstract was prepared, was made when they came up for consideration at a hearing held in connection with the adjudication. This objection was noted in the record and

the said amended claims were then considered at the hearing.

The hearing referred to resulted from a formal protest filed on behalf of the estate of Harriet Guthrie against the claims of Messrs. Sheehan and Farrel and of the Liotard Land & Livestock Company. It was held in the Court House at Minnesuoca on the 10th to 14th of December, 1918 and on the 19th to 21st of February, 1919.

Proofs of appropriation of water from Clear Creek were filed for three ranches. Highest on the source is that known as the Clear Creek Ranch now owned by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company. The next place is that owned by Messrs J. Sheehan and Charles Farrel known as the "Grass Valley" or "Thomas Nelson" ranch. The lowest place on the creek for which a water right is claimed is that of the estate of Harriett Guthrie.

One of the main questions at issue in the adjudication was the area of land for which the Liotard Land & Livestock Company are entitled to a water right. The irrigated area claimed in the final amended proof of that company is 733 acres. In the first amended proof the irrigated area listed by sub-divisions is 498 acres. In the original proof made by the former owner, Richard Glasville, the irrigated area is given as 218 acres and it^{is} further stated in the proof that other land "was sown to grain and irrigated prior to 1878. The amount of this land under cultivation at that time is difficult to determine." A cultural map filed with the original proof of appropriation indicates that the area being cultivated in 1914 was 218 acres. With the final amended proof another cultural map was filed whereon it is indicated that 733 acres were being irrigated in 1918.

This large difference in the two proofs and cultural maps was partly explained by evidence given at the hearing. It

appears that prior to about the year 1890 grain was the main product of the Clear Creek ranch. The testimony varies as to the maximum amount of land irrigated in any year during the period that grain was being raised, the figures ranging between 300 and 500 acres. However the same land was not planted each year so that a considerable greater area was kept cleared of brush than was cultivated in any one year. Since about 1890 it appears that alfalfa has been the principal crop on the ranch together with some garden, orchard and cleared pasture lands that have been irrigated regularly. Of this cleared and cultivated land it appears there was about 218 acres when the first cultural map was made and proof of appropriation filed in 1914.

But it appears from the evidence that in addition to the use of water regularly through the irrigation season on the 218 acres of cultivated land the waste water therefrom, and also surplus water direct from the source, was from time to time turned onto other land that had previously been planted to grain but on which brush has been growing since the former owners quit the extensive raising of grain. This surplus and waste water has been turned on to the land, according to the evidence, for the purpose of raising grass among the brush for pasture. The second cultural map indicates some 500 acres of this brush land as meadow in addition to the regularly cultivated land, and it is also included in the final amended proof of appropriation claiming 733 acres of irrigated land.

The amount of land of the Motard, Land & Livestock Company found to have a water right in this order of determination is based on investigations on the ground by Seymour Case, Assistant State Engineer, as well as on evidence given at the

hearing. The field investigations were made on March 25th and May 25, 1918. The sage-brush area was gone over carefully. The almost total absence of grass on a large part of the area indicates that very little water has been diverted on it. Also there is no system of ditches that would properly water the sloping land in the Northwest portion of the ranch. The few water courses in evidence on that portion of the land seem to be for the most part in the gullies or depressions where it found its way naturally. On other portions of the brush land referred to within the boundary fence old furrows are yet in evidence that were used when grain was raised there. In the brush land lying directly west of the cultivated portion of the ranch and over which the drainage water from the alfalfa would naturally flow there is considerable grass among the brush and small patches of grass land devoid of brush, indicating that same had been watered quite regularly. A considerable part of the brush land had been recently plowed and plowing was going on at the date of first visit. Upturned brush was in evidence over all the recently plowed area but differed in color and size in different parts of the tract indicating varying ages of growth.

The second cultural map of the ranch filed with the State Engineer, on December 6, 1918, wherein all the brush area is indicated as meadow, is misleading since that land, previous to the time it was plowed, could not properly be termed anything better than uncleared pasture.

From the field investigations of the land and conclusions reached from evidence given at the hearing it is the finding in this order that the Liotard Land & Livestock Company have a vested water right from Clear Creek for the areas listed by

subdivisions in the tabulation attached hereto.

The duty of water on the land for which a water right is allowed on the Clear Creek Ranch is fixed at 75 acres per cubic foot per second for an irrigation period of six months. This allowance is generally considered sufficient to properly serve land of similar character and physical conditions in the state. Evidence given at the hearing indicates that this land requires more water than the lower bottom lands of the Sheehan-Farrel and Guthrie ranches, which is undoubtedly true. The duty of water for the two lower ranches referred to has been fixed in this order at 100 acres to the cubic foot per second over an irrigation period of six months. This rate allows 3.6 acre feet per acre per season which has been found generally sufficient for lands under similar conditions in other parts of the state. As some of the ditches supplying lands irrigated from Clear Creek are very long the above allowances of water may properly be measured at the several points of diversion from the main source

The relative priorities of water right for the lands of the Sheehan-Farrel and Guthrie ranches as fixed in the tabulation attached hereto are the conclusions reached from the evidence given at the hearing referred to. The conditions of use and time of appropriation as nearly as they were disclosed indicate that the upper portion of the Sheehan-Farrel ranch should be allotted the second priority right on the source. Following that a priority is allowed for the so-called "Higger-Henry" portion of the Guthrie ranch. The next priority is allotted to the area comprising the "Eye Grass Field" of the Sheehan-Farrel ranch. The following and latest priority under vested right from the source is allotted to the remaining irrigated

lands of the Guthrie ranch.

In the record of the hearing held in this matter will be found a copy of an "Agreement between Richard Glanville and John Waterman." The parties named were former owners respectively of the ranches now owned by the Liotard Land & Livestock Company and by Messrs. J. Sheehan and Charles Farrel. The agreement provides for a certain distribution of the waters of Clear Creek between the two ranches.

This order of determination has been made without regard to the conditions of that agreement, but with the sole effort of fixing the several priorities and amounts of water right as nearly as possible in accordance with the lawful appropriations and beneficial use in the past as disclosed by the evidence and investigations. There is certainly a conflict between the manner of distribution provided for in that agreement and the findings in this order of determination but notwithstanding such conflict it is deemed the duty of the state engineer to act in accordance with the statutory provision that "beneficial use shall be the basis, the measure and the limit of the right to the use of water".

Appropriator	Stream	Ditch	Priority	Acres	Section	Subdivision	Tp-N	R-W	Cu. ft. per seep season	Acres ft.	Irrigation Period
Lotard Land & Livestock Co. (01321) Assigned Nov. 2, 1922 to Winnemucca State Bank and Trust Co. Deed filed June 19, 1931 in file 6373	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Canal	1866	18.0	14	NE 3/4	33	38	5.72	2040	Mar. 1-Sept. 1
				19.5	14	SE 3/4	33	38			
				31.3	14	SW 3/4	33	38			
				35.6	14	NW 3/4	33	38			
				0.3	14	X SW NW 3/4	33	38			
				2.0	15	SE 3/4	33	38			
				37.8	15	NE 3/4	33	38			
				33.5	15	SE 3/4	33	38			
				26.1	15	SW 3/4	33	38			
				33.1	15	NW 3/4	33	38			
				14.7	15	SE 3/4	33	38			
				31.6	15	SE NW 1/4	33	38			
				33.8	15	NE 3/4	33	38			
				14.3	15	SE 3/4	33	38			
				8.0	15	SW 3/4	33	38			
				39.2	15	NE 3/4	33	38			
				37.2	15	SW NW 1/4	33	38			
				2.8	15	NW NW 1/4	33	38			
428.8	Total										
J. Sheehan and Charles Farrell Oct 252 east Prop No 01523	Clear Creek	Ditch No. 1	1869	6.3	19	SE 3/4	33	38	1.9	675	Mar. 1-Sept. 1
				21.2	18	Lot 4	33	38			
				17.5	19	NE NW 1/4	33	38			
				4.5	19	Lot 1	33	38			
		20.9		13	SE 3/4	33	37				
		2.5		13	SW 3/4	33	37				
		8.8		19	NE NW 1/4	33	38				
		34.3		19	Lot 1	33	38				
		14.8		13	SE 3/4	33	37				
		9.4		13	SW 3/4	33	37				
		4.3		24	SE NE 1/4	33	37				
		5.1		24	NW NE 1/4	33	37				
		40.0		24	NE NE 1/4	33	37				
187.7	Total										

← covered by deeds of Mary E. Hunter dated Feb. 16, 1937 to Edward James filed Jan 21, 1942 in 3447

Appropriator	Stream	Ditch	Priority	Acres	Section	Subdivision	Tp-H	R-S	Cu. Ft. per sec.	Acres Ft. per season	Irrigation Period	
Estate of Harriet Guthrie, an incompetent. Arthur W. Guthrie, guardian.	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Ditch	1870	30.6	26	SW 1/4 NW 1/4	34	37	1.94	690	Mar. 1-Sept. 1.	
				14.4	26	NE 1/4 NW 1/4	34	37				
				73.3	26	SE 1/4 SW 1/4	34	37				
				75.5	26	N 1/2 SW 1/4	34	37				
				<u>193.8</u>	Total.							
J. Sheehan and Charles Farrell <i>cert 254 Proof</i> <i>No 01107</i> <i>1110 = 3.555</i> <i>3.122</i>	Clear Creek	Overflow sub-irrigation & ditches	1978	72.8	13	SW 1/4 NE 1/4	33	37	3.95	1410	Mar. 1-Sept. 1.	
				0.6	13	NE 1/4 NW 1/4	33	37				
				19.7	13	SW 1/4 NW 1/4	33	37				
				125.7	13	SW 1/4 SW 1/4	33	37				
				15.0	13	NE 1/4 SW 1/4	33	37				
				27.0	13	SE 1/4 SE 1/4	33	37				
				80.0	14	SW 1/4 NE 1/4	33	37				
				7.5	14	NW 1/4 NE 1/4	33	37				
				4.7	14	SW 1/4 NE 1/4	33	37				
				18.0	14	NE 1/4 SE 1/4	33	37				
				1.3	24	NE 1/4 NW 1/4	33	37				
				23.0	24	NW 1/4 NE 1/4	33	37				
				<u>395.3</u>	Total							
				Estate of Harriet Guthrie, an incompetent. Arthur W. Guthrie, guardian.	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Ditch, Laterals and overflow	1892	297.2				11
15.0	12	SW 1/4 SW 1/4	33					37				
				<u>312.2</u>	Total							
<i>01107</i> <i>01108</i> <i>01109</i>	Clear Creek	Clear Creek Ditch, Laterals and overflow	1902	15.9	27	SE 1/4 NE 1/4	34	37	4.18	1470	Mar. 1-Sept. 1.	
				312.5	35	SW 1/4 NW 1/4	34	37				
				72.7	35	SW 1/4 SE 1/4	34	37				
				12.3	38	SW 1/4 NE 1/4	34	37				
				<u>413.4</u>	Total							

Dated this 12th day of June, 1919.
J. G. Scroggins,
State Engineer
By Seymour Case
Asst. State Engineer